

NOV 19 2007

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CESAR ARRIETA RINCON; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 07-71947

Agency Nos. A95-181-627  
A77-591-262

MEMORANDUM \*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 13, 2007 \*\*

Before: McKEOWN, TALLMAN and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

This is a petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA")  
order adopting and affirming an Immigration Judge's order denying petitioners  
Cesar Arrieta Rincon and Pilar Garcia Rincon's applications for cancellation of

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent  
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without  
oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

07-71947

removal. Respondent has filed a motion to dismiss in part and for summary disposition in part. Petitioners have filed an opposition to the motion.

A review of the filings and the administrative record demonstrates that there is substantial evidence to support the BIA's decision that petitioner Pilar Garcia Rincon failed to establish continuous physical presence in the United States for a period of not less than ten years as required for cancellation of removal. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(b)(1)(A); *Lopez-Alvarado v. Ashcroft*, 381 F.3d 847, 851 (9th Cir. 2004). Accordingly, respondent's motion for summary disposition is granted with respect to petitioner Pilar Garcia Rincon because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam).

We have reviewed the opposition to the motion to dismiss this petition for review for lack of jurisdiction, and we conclude that petitioner Cesar Arrieta Rincon has failed to raise a colorable constitutional or legal claim to invoke our jurisdiction over this petition for review. *See Martinez-Rosas v. Gonzales*, 424 F.3d 926 (9th Cir. 2005); *Torres-Aguilar v. INS*, 246 F.3d 1267, 1271 (9th Cir. 2001). Accordingly, respondent's motion to dismiss this petition for review for lack of jurisdiction with respect to petitioner Cesar Arrieta Rincon is granted.

07-71947

*See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(B)(i); *Romero-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 327 F.3d 887, 892 (9th Cir. 2003); *Montero-Martinez v. Ashcroft*, 277 F.3d 1137, 1144 (9th Cir. 2002).

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal and voluntary departure confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) and *Desta v. Ashcroft*, 365 F.3d 741 (9th Cir. 2004), shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.**